



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,145	07/10/2002	Harri Holma	367.41482X00	9803
20457 7	7590 09/19/2005		EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/089,145	HOLMA, HARRI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kevin M. Burd	2631		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrand 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2002 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. ☐ accepted or b) Ø objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/089,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the unlabeled rectangular boxes shown in the drawings should be provided with descriptive text labels. Figures 2 and 3 are lacking these descriptive text labels. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,145 Page 3

Art Unit: 2631

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: a run-on

sentence appears on page 2, line 24 to page 3, line 29.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 25 is rejected to because of the following informalities: claim 25 states "a

mobile station including a receiver as defined in claim 22". However, does not define a

receiver. Claim 22 defines a method of decoding a data unit. Appropriate correction is

required.

5. Claims 33 and 34 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper

dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in

proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form. Claim 16 recites the

identical limitation of claim 33. Claim 33 is dependent on claim 16 and, therefore, does

not further limit claim 16. Claim 34 recites the identical limitation of claim 34. Claim 34 is

dependent on claim 17 and does not further limit claim 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

6. Claims 15-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Teder et al (US 5,544,156).

Regarding claims 15, 23 and 24, Teder discloses a method of estimating spreading factors in a CDMA system. The system comprises a transmitter and receiver. The transmitter transmits data over a data channel and control information over a control channel (column 2, lines 43-49). The data is transmitted in parallel to the control information (column 2, lines 43-49). The data is spread according to a spreading factor (column 3, lines 60-64). The control information is decoded and the control information contains power control commands for the corresponding data frame (column 4, lines 15-19). The power information allows the spreading factor to be known since the transmit power will yield the data rate value and the spreading factor (column 4, lines 1-5). The control information is protected by a powerful encoding scheme to minimize errors to the important control information such as power and spreading factor 9column 5, lines 32-39). Once the spreading factor is recovered, the correct spreading code is used to recover the data (column 4, lines 15-19 and column 5, lines 32-39).

Regarding claim 16 and 33, Teder discloses considering interleaving the control information and the data (column 2, lines 27-29).

Regarding claims 17, 18 and 34. The data to be transmitted comprise a plurality of frames. The "data unit" can be one, many or all of these frames.

Regarding claims 19, 26-28, the spreading factor used to decode the frame will be the appropriate spreading factor for each specific frame transmitted. This spreading factor can be the lowest spreading factor.

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claims 20, 29-32, the relationship between the spreading factor, bit rate and transmit power is known (column 4, lines 1-5).

Page 5

Regarding claim 21, as stated above, once the spreading factor is recovered from the control information, the data frame is despread using the spreading factor (column 4, lines 20-59).

Regarding claim 22, the CDMA system accommodates a plurality of users.

Regarding claim 25, a receiver is disclosed in Teder.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Burd whose telephone number is (571) 272-3008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,145

Art Unit: 2631

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin M. Burd 9/15/2005

KEVIN BURD PRIMARY EXAMINER